



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,971	12/14/2001	Timothy E. Mason	9753	8366
26884	7590 07/05/2005		. EXAM	INER
PAUL W. MARTIN			VO, TED T	
LAW DEPAR	TMENT, WHQ-4			
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAYTON, O	DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			
DATION, O	11 43477-0001		2192	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/017,971 MASON, TIMOTHY E	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted T. Vo	2192
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/14/01 and 5/18/05.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 200	<u>1</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	n received in this National Stage
application from the International B	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	at received
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a not of the certified copies no	it icocived.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Cother: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Claims filed on 12/14/2001.

Claims 1-10 are canceled for restriction/election subject matter, filed on 5/18/05.

Claims 11-20 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the content of the abstract exceeds more than 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Dejaeger et al, (US No. 6,213,395 B1).

Given the broadest reasonable interpretation of followed breadth of claims in light of the specification.

As per Claim 11: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses,

A method for configuring software to correspond to the physical configuration of a convertible checkout station comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/017,971

Art Unit: 2192

generating a configuration signal corresponding to a checkout station physical configuration; and determining a software configuration for the checkout station corresponding to the physical configuration indicated by the generated signal. (See FIG. 9A showing the system generating signals and the processing unit determine the signals. This figure shows PROCESSING UNIT 78b receiving interface the signal from INTERFACE UNIT 142 which is in self-check out mode and the signal from PERSONAL INTERFACE TERMINAL 76 which is in assistant mode. See further texts col.47: 65-67; cols.48-52, col.53: 1-19).

As per Claim 12: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses.

"The method of claim 11 further comprising: loading software modules corresponding to one operational mode for the checkout station" (Dejaeger shows a self check-out system comprising a processing unit and memory - col.48: 34-41 – Note: means for loading software modules is conventionally known performed by processing unit and memory).

As per Claim 13: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses, *The method of claim 12 further comprising:* executing the loaded software modules to operate the checkout station in the operational mode corresponding to the indicated physical configuration. (See texts col.47: 65-67; cols.48-52, col.53: 1-19. It should be noted with the showing of processing unit includes, it includes means of executing the loaded software modules to operate the checkout station).

As per Claim 14: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses,

The method of claim 13 further comprising: interrupting the execution of the loaded software modules in response to detection of a change in the signal indicative of the physical configuration of the checkout station (See FIG 9: PROCESSING UNIT 78b has means of detecting changes between self-check mode and assistant mode. Foe example, the change (interrupt) from one mode to another mode (self-checkout and assistant modes). See texts col.47: 65-67; cols.48-52, col.53: 1-19.).

As per Claim 15: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses, The method of claim 14 further comprising: loading software modules corresponding to another operational mode for the checkout station in response to the detected change in the signal indicative of the physical configuration of the checkout

station (Dejaeger shows a self check-out system comprising a processing unit and memory - col.48: 34-41 for loading software modules (means for loading operational modes is performed by processing unit and memory. FIG 9A includes at least two operational modes).

As per Claim 16: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses, *The method of claim 11 further comprising:* executing the loaded software modules for the other operational mode to operate the checkout station in the other operational mode corresponding to the detected change in physical configuration of the checkout station. (See FIG 9: PROCESSING UNIT 78b has means of detecting changes between self-check mode and assistant mode. For example, the change causes the self-check performs the operation from one mode to another mode (self-checkout ←→ assistant modes). See texts col.47: 65-67; cols.48-52, col.53: 1-19).

As per Claim 17: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses,

A system for configuring software to correspond to the physical configuration of a convertible checkout station comprising: means for generating a signal indicative of a physical configuration of a checkout station; and; means for determining an operational mode for the checkout station from the generated signal. See rationale addressed in Claim 11 above.

As per Claim 18: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses,

The system of claim 17 further comprising: means for actuating the generating means so that the generating means generates a signal indicative of a first physical configuration of the checkout station in response to the actuating means and generating means being in proximity to one another (FIG 9A. Referring to the self-check system's movement/rotation between customer and assisted clerk).

As per Claim 19: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses, The system of claim 18 wherein the generating means changes the signal in response to the actuating means being separated from the generating means. (See FIG 9: PROCESSING UNIT 78b has means of detecting changes between self-check mode and assistant mode See switch means self-checkout ←→ assistant modes between 156 and 142 of item 78. See texts col.47: 65-67; cols.48-52, col.53: 1-19).

As per Claim 20: Dejaeger shows a self check-out system that discloses, *The system of claim 17 wherein the signal generating means is coupled to a processor for interrupting the processor so that the processor may change software configuration for operating the checkout station in another operational mode.* (See FIG 9: PROCESSING UNIT 78b has means of changing between self-check mode and assistant mode. For example, the change (interrupt) from one mode to another mode (self-checkout and assistant modes). See texts col.47: 65-67; cols.48-52, col.53: 1-19.).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
Swartz et al., US Pat. No. 6,672,506 B2, discloses self-scanning checkout system that determines how many items to check in a shopper's shopping cart.

Dumont, US Pat. No. 5,584,362, discloses a customer checkout apparatus.

Braga et al., "A self-validating valve", discloses Actuator validation principles and techniques.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted T. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3706. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3694. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2192

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted T. Vo

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2192

June 15, 2005